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Abstract
This article examines how an international project can have an impact on the educational development of the partner country, with the TECIP project (Teacher Educators in Higher Education as Catalysts for Inclusive Practices) being an example. It is a collaborative project aiming at promoting inclusive education in Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) teacher education in Ethiopia. The project is considered a developmental agent that can have an impact on the attitudes, skills and knowledge of actors, which in turn can lead to sustainable changes in inclusive practices in TVET. The project’s target groups were TVET teacher trainers, TVET administration and TVET teachers at the grassroots level at vocational colleges. The data was gath-
ered from three baseline studies: from feedback of three trainings in 2017–2018 as well as from two monitoring visit rounds to ten TVET Colleges. After analysis, studies showed that project activities had started a development process that the actors will continue in their respective organisations. Some improvement was observed in TVET Colleges after the trainings, e.g. awareness raising trainings were organised and construction of ramps was undertaken in some colleges. Nevertheless, the attitude change towards students with disabilities and the physical accessibility did not reach the desired level. Thus, there is a need to continue and strengthen partnership in order to promote sustainable inclusive practices in Technical and Vocational Education and Training in Ethiopia.
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**Background**

**Policy context: Ethiopian guidelines towards Inclusive Education in TVET**

The Constitution of Ethiopia (Ministry of Education Ethiopia, 1995) guarantees equal rights to all people without any form of discrimination. It also contains a number of important provisions directly and indirectly relevant to the rights of persons with disabilities. The Government of Ethiopia has taken a number of legislative and policy steps that indicate commitment to advancing the rights of persons with disabilities, such as signing and ratifying the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (United Nations, 2006) the first international, legally binding treaty aimed at protecting the human rights of persons with disabilities, in 2010. The challenges facing the full realisation of human rights and fundamental freedom enshrined in the Convention are lack of awareness, necessary skills and technology, violence and abuse, harmful stereotypes linked to disability and disability-based discrimination. The World Report on Disability (World Bank & World Health Organization, 2011) estimated that there were 15 million people with disabilities in Ethiopia, representing 17.6% of the total population at the time. Similarly, 95% of people with disabilities in Ethiopia live in poverty (Malle, 2017) – the vast majority of them in rural areas, where basic services are limited and the chances of accessing rehabilitative or support services are remote. Only 3% of Ethiopia’s estimated 2.4–4.8 million children with disabilities go to school. This is due to stigma among parents and educators, inaccessibility, rigid teaching practices, poorly trained teachers and the lack of adapted learning resources (Malle, 2017).

**Goals of the TECIP project**

Major organisational changes simultaneously provide a possibility for a new way of thinking. These changes may act like cornerstones, which help workers to look forward to the future (Kajamaa, 2015). Heikkilä and Seppänen (2014) speak of transformative agency, where the agency is regarded as the subject’s capacity to take purposeful action to change their work.
At present in Ethiopia, there is a favourable policy environment for developing post-secondary TVET towards inclusion. Still, concrete actions are needed. Because teachers have a key role in enacting reforms, the development of TVET teacher education is the prime objective for ensuring people with special educational needs and disabilities (SEND) to get access to and participate in TVET education. Teacher Educators in Higher Education as Catalysts for Inclusive Practices in Technical and Vocational Education (TECIP) is a capacity-building project (2017–2019) between JAMK University of Applied Sciences, Finland; the Federal Technical Vocational Education and Training Institute (FTI), Ethiopia; Addis Ababa University (AAU), Ethiopia, and the University of Jyväskylä, Finland. The mission of the TECIP project is to equip the TVET teacher training providers at the national, regional and local level with the necessary skills and knowledge on inclusive practices to support national development efforts towards inclusive TVET education in Ethiopia. As an outcome of the project, the teacher training institute, FTI, develops its educational structures in terms of inclusion in TVET and has curricula on inclusive education and related modules for pre- and in-service TVET teacher programmes. As the modules developed during the project are part of the curricula, the results sustain and reach a new generation of teachers. Additionally, a new in-service training model is being developed for FTI and piloted for future use. The project scope involves all regions of Ethiopia via the in-service training model.

Contribution of the Project on the Educational Development

Baseline

Before the beginning of the TECIP project, we knew that the share of students with SEND in TVET Colleges was quite low. It was also estimated that there might not be enough trained staff for inclusive education, and attitudinal barriers exist. In addition, as Malle (2017) states, there is a need for a suitable curriculum, adaptive educational materials and facilities.

Therefore, the project was started by re-evaluating TVET teacher education for inclusion at the beginning of September 2017. The key persons in teacher education of FTI and AAU were interviewed in order to examine the current situation and compare it to the planning of the project.

In order to specify the situation at the beginning of the project in 2017, baseline information was collected via qualitative and more structured questionnaires from TVET administrators (N=111) in awareness raising events in five regions in November 2017, from TVET teacher trainers (N=75) in a training in November 2017, and during the Training of Trainers (ToT) rounds, with the first round in February 2018 and the second one in August 2018 (N=81). Additionally, the progress of the development of inclusive education at TVET Colleges was monitored two times after the Training of Trainers (N=20).

Attitudinal change of TVET leaders and teacher trainers

In a country like Ethiopia, where adminis-
Table 1. Overview of possible changes to key issues of the TECIP project between the project’s planning (2015) and starting time (2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project plan</th>
<th>Project’s starting time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There were no curricula or training modules on inclusive practices with appropriate materials for future TVET teachers in TVET teacher education.</td>
<td>Although there are no formal curricula or training modules that directly address inclusive education practices, some activities were implemented in this regard in TVET Colleges. While making curriculum revisions, some colleges tried to make the curricula inclusive by taking students with disabilities into consideration. They also tried to create an accessible environment for students with special needs. For example, colleges will not be accredited if they are not accessible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There were no teachers specialised in inclusive education and special needs in TVET teacher education.</td>
<td>No changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVET teachers had minimal knowledge and skills regarding special needs; only single training days in sign language had been delivered in some colleges.</td>
<td>No changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration between different stakeholders and parties was weak.</td>
<td>No changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There was limited knowledge regarding inclusion among administrators and other stakeholders.</td>
<td>The leadership has undergone training on how to make TVET Colleges both accessible and inclusive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There was no in-service training model to root inclusive approach to regions and local TVET Colleges.</td>
<td>No changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There were no official statistics for students with SEND in TVET Colleges. Based on the recent study by Malle (2017), the estimated share of students with SEND in TVET is 0.07–0.55%.</td>
<td>No changes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to mobilise the development process towards inclusion in TVET Colleges, awareness raising events were organised for TVET administrators and heads of TVET Colleges in five cities. The goal was to collect baseline information regarding awareness of inclusion and related issues from the key administrative stakeholders, i.e. TVET administrators. These events recruited more than a hundred participants, and 111 completed questionnaires concerning their opinions on inclusive education. Four questions were presented, with three being open-ended. The event moderators delivered the questionnaires to participants and returned the completed questionnaires to the researchers. Participation was voluntary, and all
collected data was kept anonymous and confidential.

Figure 1 summarises the participants’ own estimates of how they viewed their own perception of inclusion. All participants knew about inclusion, and most considered their knowledge either average or good. Slightly less than 10% estimated that they knew the concept well.

One of the survey questions was as follows: ‘According to your opinion and/or knowledge, how is the issue of inclusive education addressed in technical and vocational education?’ Even though there was concern regarding the implementation of inclusion, several respondents reported having good personal and/or organisational experiences regarding efforts to teach people with disabilities. Many good solutions had already been implemented at various levels, albeit not widely, and experience had been gained in organising support and making adjustments for students with disabilities.

When respondents were asked to list the major challenges to implementing inclusion, their responses included facilities, materials and equipment, skilled work force, curriculum and attitudes.

Similarly, a questionnaire was created and delivered to the participants at FTI (N=75) to determine the attitudinal level and readiness for change of the current TVET teacher trainers concerning their knowledge, practices and future interests regarding inclusion. The questionnaire was based on an earlier study by Forlin (2011), which has been successfully used worldwide. Slight adaptations were made to ensure that the participants could complete the form during the seminar day. A total of 59 participants completed the questionnaire, of which 17% were female. The average age was 36 (range 24–58), and the participants were well educated; most had either a bachelor’s or master’s degree. Per the preliminary findings, over half of the respondents claimed to have interacted with persons with disabilities. When asked about previous training
concerning educating people with disabilities, 54% stated that they had received no such training, while 10% claimed to have had a significant amount of training. Many of the respondents (66%) claimed to have had at least some experience in teaching students with disabilities. The participants in this survey were quite confident in teaching disabled persons, with only 3% considering their confidence to be low. Most of the respondents estimated their knowledge of legislation and/or policies as average concerning inclusive education.

Pedagogical intervention

To ensure the sustainability of the developmental results, 100 TVET teachers at the grassroots level were offered training for two weeks in two rounds (February 2018 and August 2018). Both trainings reached 75 participants, with the ongoing unrest in some regions hindering the participation of all invitees.

Among the 75 trainees only 13.3% were females, thereby implying the low level of female participation in the TVET system. Regarding qualification of instructors or teachers in TVET Colleges, most of the participants (73.3%) were revealed to have had their first degree below the minimum policy standard used in specialised institutes like TVET Colleges. With regard to specialisation, the participants from 54 TVET Colleges trained in 31 areas of specialisation, with Building Construction (18.7%), ICT (9.3%), Special Needs (6.7%) and Manufacturing (6.7%) being among the leading areas.

Table 2 deals with the existence of students with disabilities in TVET Colleges. To this end, the majority of respondents (78.7%) confirmed the existence of such students in limited areas of vocational training. Conversely, more than half of participants (56%) indicated that students with disabilities did not exist in their classrooms.

As to the number of students with disabilities and their area of specialisation, there were around 345 students with disabilities in the areas of ICT, Garment, Sanitary, Electricity, Textile, Manufacturing, Surveying, Building Construction, Furniture Making, Accounting, Automotive, Pharmacy, Natural Resource, Crop Science, Food Preparation, General Mechanics, Electro Mechanics, Animal Health, etc. The total number of 345 is aggregated from the ToT participants who confirmed the existence of students with disabilities in their respective TVET Col-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existence of Students with Disability in Colleges</th>
<th>Existence of Students with Disability in Classrooms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
leges. The number of students with disabilities in some Colleges may not be exact, as participants tried to mention students with whom they are familiar. To this effect, better data could be obtained from Regional TVET offices.

According to Table 3, most of the respondents (60.0%) confirmed that they were not aware of inclusion before the ToT-training. However, a little more than half of the participants (54.7%) were interested in inclusion.

As shown in Table 4, the great majority of the participants were of the opinion that the ToT-training in inclusive education contributed to their learning and future career as TVET trainers.
Accordingly, through the face-to-face discussion with 75 ToT participants, most of the participants during the discussion contended that the ToT-training was very good and transparent. They also stressed that the training environment was favourable and inclusive. On top of these benefits, the same group of respondents appreciated student-centred, participatory methodology and diverse styles of learning, learning by doing, and group-based exercises in the training. Theoretical and practical ToT-training was relevant and enabled participants to know national and international policies and practices in order to identify their existing status and where they should start inclusive education. The participants learned how to create social awareness and manage classroom practices. They were also willing to share experiences from the ToT-training and wanted to apply it in their TVET Colleges. The ToT-training conducted by well-versed and physically impaired professionals who can understand the condition of people with disabilities was seen as an impressive feature. The training styles of other Finnish and Ethiopian trainers were also found interesting.

Similarly, the participants stated minimal follow-up and supervision by the Federal and Regional TVET authorities as a big challenge as well as the recruitment of students with disabilities in TVET Colleges. Lack of resources, career structure and incentive mechanisms for those TVET teachers who work for inclusion in TVET Colleges were also stated as problems. CoC (Centres of Assessment) packages including measurement are not synchronised with the needs of students with disabilities. Before all, an attitude problem exists among some TVET teachers with regard to making TVET inclusive. There is a need for further cooperation and commitment among high schools, local authorities and TVET authorities in order to create awareness and recruit students with disabilities in TVET Colleges. In some TVET Colleges they were able to identify and train some hearing impaired individuals despite strong resistance from part of the community. The College also constructed a ramp for students needing a wheelchair. As a result of these interventions, the trainees became successful and started to earn a decent living by getting rid of their previous hand-to-mouth way of living. Such success stories need to be written down, and the case studies disseminated to all concerned parties.

In order to ensure the progress after the ToT-trainings, monitoring visits were made two times to 10 TVET Colleges representing different regions of Ethiopia. Quantitative as well as qualitative methods were used in the monitoring: Participant observation, questionnaires, checklists and face-to-face open discussions with TVET teachers, who participated in the ToT-trainings. Apart from helping the teachers to fill in the questionnaires, the facilitators were able to interview the TVET teachers in order to provide transparent and in-depth information on the impact of the ToT-training. Also feedback sessions were organized for leaders of the TVET Colleges, Regional TVET Agencies, the Federal TVET Agency and Federal TVET Institute. Except some positive developments (such as provision of incentives for students with disabilities, mainstreaming inclusive practices or conducting needs assessment at the surrounding high schools), awareness raising attempts were weak in the monitored TVET Colleges. This is partly due to low motivation of TVET teachers, lack of expertise on
special needs education and inadequate support from leaders. The TVET Colleges also need to improve the physical accessibility (roads, buildings, ramps, toilets) and strengthen resource centers. In summary, although the ToT-training aimed at improving the situation of students with disabilities, its level of implementation is still at an early stage. There is a need for continuing the support to TVET Colleges and scale up their inclusive practices.

**TVET Teacher Trainers and Teachers as Change Agents**

The basic question in any development process is how to promote sustainable change. Regarding inclusive education, Booth (2019) defines the change elements in three dimensions: policy, culture and practices. In order to get sustainable results, administration practices as well as the cultural (attitudes) level must be taken into account. In the TECIP project, the change was initiated with combining TVET administration with developmental steps in teacher education.

The role of every teacher is important in inclusive education. Therefore, the TECIP project concentrated on improving skills and knowledge of TVET teacher educators and TVET teachers across the country. Competence development was regarded as positive. However, the project seemed to increase empowerment and awareness of trainees. The respondents referred to “an alternative approach in teaching,” which was new for them. The dialogue increased the participants’ possibilities to adopt new knowledge and build networks. The self-evaluation technique enhanced new learning, as did systematic planning. However, no educational development on the national level would have been promoted without synchronized cooperation with TVET administration. Generally, in a situation where work changes, the transformative agency (the capacity of the subjects to take purposeful action) in people’s work activity differs greatly (Heikkilä & Seppänen, 2014). It is relevant to analyze the approaches and actions during the project that seemed to enhance transformative agency in TVET teacher trainers’ and teachers’ work. The feedback showed that a huge amount of positive feedback emerged. The trainees seemed to have taken many purposeful, goal-oriented actions towards inclusion. Obstacles that were interpreted as insurmountable at the beginning of the project were regarded as merely challenging in the end, and no clear resistance to the development was visible. There was a lot of envisioning the future: great hope that challenges in the development of inclusive education will be tackled through collaboration. In order to enhance transformative agency, project actors need to have a feeling of empowerment.

As a whole, there are “critical factors” in all development projects: Projects must be needs-based, working culture collaborative and management well-planned; all actors need to rely on equality, transparency and mutual respect taking clearly planned sustainability actions, Also, native trainers should be prioritized, and European partners should have their home-
work done before the start of the project in order to ensure sufficient information on the social context, political and economic situation and effects of globalization. This ensures that global knowledge can be successfully applied on a local level.

The example of the TECIP project showed that an international project can initiate a change on attitudes, knowledge and skills of actors. However, in order to get sustainable changes in inclusive TVET, there is a long way to go with TVET administration, TVET teacher education and TVET Colleges joining hands.

Discussion

Ethiopia is undergoing big economic and social changes at the moment. In fact, the change from agricultural industry towards technology-based society is one of the fastest developments in Africa. Therefore, the role of TVET education is important in training competent, motivated and innovative professionals who can contribute to poverty reduction and social and economic development.

The Ethiopian Ministry of Education has drafted a plan, Education Development Roadmap (2018–30) including responsibilities for the TVET education sector in order to support equitability: guidelines for skill training to be inclusive in urban and rural communities, plans for supporting academically successful students as well as dropouts in general education, promotion of gender parity as well as ensuring access to TVET for people with special needs (Tirussew, Amare, Jeilu, Tassew, Aklilu, & Berhannu, 2018).

Since teachers are change-makers in society, the Roadmap is calling for modernization of teacher education in Ethiopia: to prepare and launch a comprehensive teacher preparation and development policy, which covers key issues related to recruitment, selection, in-service training, certification and continuous professional development of teachers. The new Educational Roadmap pays attention to development of inclusive education and to quality of teacher education. Similarly, the goal of the TECIP project was to increase access to and participation in TVET education for people with special educational needs and disabilities. The Federal TVET Institute has the possibility to continue the development and work as a flagship for inclusive TVET teacher education in Ethiopia.
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